

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,134	10/27/2003	Christopher A. Tacklind	21380-06290	6122
758	7590 07/14/2004		EXAM	INER
FENWICK & WEST LLP			BENNETT, GEORGE B	
SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
001 <del>0</del> 11	VIEW, CA 94041		2859	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/695,134	TACKLIND ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		G. Bradley Bennett	2859			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period fo	or Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to to a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tatute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 October 2003.						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\boxtimes$ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2003</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Applica priority documents have been receivereau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
3) Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE rr No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/695,134 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-14 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Rando.
- 3. Rando describes using a laser beam with alternative masks (FIGS 3 and 4) which are described as the the masks as claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rando.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,134

Art Unit: 2859

6. Rando discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Rando does not disclose the further optical elements (claims 15-25) or the conductive spring (claim 27) as claimed. Official Notice is taken that the further claimed optical elements are old and well-known means used for the purpose of further processing a laser beam for a particular application. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed optical elements in conjunction with the device of Rando for the purpose of customizing the Rando device for a particular application. Official Notice is also taken that using a conductive spring is an old and well-known means used for the purpose of supporting a pendulum and providing power to a laser on the pendulum. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a conductive spring in conjunction with the pendulum of Rando for the purposes of both suspending the pendulum and providing power to the pendulum.

Page 3

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Bradley Bennett whose telephone number is 571.272.2237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571.272.2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,134 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

G. Bradley Bennett Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

gbb 12 JUL 2004